

# **Evidence-Based Invasive Treatment of Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain**

#### Introduction

Patients who do not respond to conservative treatments and have an unacceptable quality of life are possible candidates for invasive treatments. This fact sheet summarizes the current evidence on the most commonly performed invasive procedures in chronic musculoskeletal pain.

# **Diagnostic Procedures**

Nerve blocks are the most common type of invasive diagnostic procedure. Their rationale is simple: if a certain anatomical structure is the source of pain, then anesthetizing its nerve supply should temporarily relieve the pain. Face and construct validity have been demonstrated for blocks of the nerves that supply the zygapophysial (facet) joints of the cervical and lumbar spine [6]. There is some evidence that selective blocks of the spinal nerves are sensitive and specific for identifying a nerve root as the source of pain [3]. Unfortunately, other types of nerve blocks have not been subjected to rigorous validation studies.

Disk stimulation (diskography) is used for the diagnosis of diskogenic pain, i.e., pain arising from painful structures of the intervertebral disks. The test relies on the assumption that, if the disk is the source of pain, applying a nonpainful stimulus to the disk (an injection of contrast medium at low pressure) would evoke the patient's typical pain. Its rationale is based on basic investigations on the nociceptive innervation of the intervertebral disk and on data in healthy volunteers. The theoretical background is sound. However, in the absence of a reference standard for the diagnosis of diskogenic pain, the validity of disk stimulation remains uncertain.

# **Therapeutic Procedures**

Radiofrequency denervation of the nerves that supply the zygapophysial joints is superior to a placebo procedure in both cervical and lumbar pain [7,9]. This finding applies only to those studies in which patients have been selected by local anesthetic blocks [6], which are the only validated diagnostic methods for zygapophysial joint pain. Studies that have employed other selection criteria have led to conflicting results. Radiofrequency denervation is highly effective, having the potential to provide complete pain relief. The main disadvantage is the limited duration of action due to nerve regeneration (on average 9–10 months). The procedure can be repeated with the same probability of success.

Pulsed radiofrequency is less effective than radiofrequency denervation in lumbar zygapophysial joint pain [9] and is better than placebo in the short-term treatment of chronic cervical radicular pain [10].

Despite wide use, injection of steroids into the zygapophysial joints is not better than placebo [2]. No controlled study has demonstrated positive results. Epidural steroid injection has no rationale in low back pain and is not better than placebo in radicular pain [1]. Studies on transforaminal selective root injections have led to conflicting results. The balance of the literature suggests that this treatment may have short-term efficacy in lumbar radicular pain. Local or systemic steroid administration seems to yield similar effects in shoulder pain [4]. Thus, the evidence does not support the current wide use of steroid injections for different musculoskeletal pain states.

In a randomized trial, spinal cord stimulation was better that the conventional management of patients with failed back surgery [5]. Importantly, only patients with predominant leg pain of neuropathic origin were included. The results are therefore not necessarily applicable to patients with predominant low back pain. Indeed, the effect was relevant for leg pain, but modest for back pain [5]. No randomized trial on intrathecal opioid therapy has been performed. The available data show limited efficacy on pain and function.

The literature on intradiskal electrothermal anuloplasty (IDET) for the treatment of diskogenic pain is controversial [8]. At best, the procedure provides at least 50% long-lasting pain relief in 50% of highly selected patients.

### References

- Carette S, Leclaire R, Marcoux S, Morin F, Blaise GA, St.-Pierre A, Truchon R, Parent F, Levesque J, Bergeron V, Montminy P, Blanchette C. Epidural corticosteroid injections for sciatica due to herniated nucleus pulposus. N Engl J Med 1997:336:1634–40.
- Carette S, Marcoux S, Truchon R, Grondin C, Gagnon J, Allard Y, Latulippe M. A controlled trial of corticosteroid injections into facet joints for chronic low back pain. N Engl J Med 1991;325:1002–7.
- 3. Dooley JF, McBroom RJ, Taguchi T, Macnab I. Nerve root infiltration in the diagnosis of radicular pain. Spine 1988;13:79–83.
- 4. Ekeberg OM, Bautz-Holter E, Tveita EK, Juel NG, Kvalheim S, Brox JI. Subacromial ultrasound guided or systemic steroid injection for rotator cuff disease: randomised double blind study. BMJ 2009;338:a3112.
- Kumar K, Taylor RS, Jacques L, Eldabe S, Meglio M, Molet J, Thomson S, O'Callaghan J, Eisenberg E, Milbouw G, Buchser E, Fortini G, Richardson J, North RB. Spinal cord stimulation versus conventional medical management for neuropathic pain: a multicentre randomised controlled trial in patients with failed back surgery syndrome. Pain 2007;132:179–88.
- 6. Lord SM, Barnsley L, Bogduk N. The utility of comparative local anesthetic blocks versus placebo-controlled blocks for the diagnosis of cervical zygapophysial joint pain. Clin J Pain 1995;11:208–13.
- 7. Lord SM, Barnsley L, Wallis BJ, McDonald GJ, Bogduk N. Percutaneous radio-frequency neurotomy for chronic cervical zygapophyseal-joint pain. N Engl J Med 1996;335:1721–6.
- 8. Pauza KJ, Howell S, Dreyfuss P, Peloza JH, Dawson K, Bogduk N. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of intradiscal electrothermal therapy for the treatment of discogenic low back pain. Spine J 2004;4:27–35.
- 9. Tekin I, Mirzai H, Ok G, Erbuyun K, Vatansever D. A comparison of conventional and pulsed radiofrequency denervation in the treatment of chronic facet joint pain. Clin J Pain 2007;23:524–9.
- Van Zundert J, Patijn J, Kessels A, Lame I, van Suijlekom H, van Kleef M. Pulsed radiofrequency adjacent to the cervical dorsal root ganglion in chronic cervical radicular pain: a double blind sham-controlled randomized clinical trial. Pain 2006;127:173–82.

